

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14468 of 2016.
Decided on:-29.04.2016.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Ms. Manpreet Virdi.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the criminal complaint No.676/2016 dated 16.1.2015 under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 titled as “Ms. Manpreet Virdi Versus M/s AMT International & others”. Challenge has also been laid to the order of summoning dated 27.4.2015 passed by learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khanna.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition and states that though there is a remedy of revision against the order of summoning, but since the matter was

transferred from Khanna to Chandigarh, the law of limitation is a hurdle in the way of the petitioner.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has statutory remedy of revision against the order of summoning, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, however, with liberty to challenge the impugned summoning order dated 27.4.2015 by way of revision.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner files a revision petition within 15 days from today, the revisional Court shall not dismiss the same merely on the ground of limitation.

Disposed of as such.

April 29, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE