

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-14428 of 2016
Date of decision: 05.10.2016

Vishal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No.245 dated 22.06.2013, under Sections 498-A/323/406/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 24.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Preeti Tanwar-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Vishal Singh-petitioner No.1 on 10.12.2009. There is no living issue from this wedlock. The petitioners gave beatings to her. She was harassed by the petitioners and turned out from the house on account of bringing insufficient

dowry. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been amicably resolved between the the respondent No.2-complainant and the petitioners vide compromise deed dated 24.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 08.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mahendergarh, has been received in this regard. As per report, Preeti Tanwar-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 06.09.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with the petitioners at her own will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.245 dated 22.06.2013, under Sections 498-A/323/406/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Mahendergarh, District

Mahendergarh, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 05, 2016
anju

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable	: No