

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14344 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 01, 2016

Paramjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Avtar S. Khinda, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Report dated 29.07.2016 sent by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala has been received, whereby, after recording statements of petitioners Paramjit Kaur, Bhajan Kaur, Karamjit Singh, Harjinder Kaur, Rani @ Geeta as well as of complainant Manjit Kaur it has been informed that the parties have effected a compromise voluntarily on their free will and accord without any pressure.

Keeping in view that it is a case of cross-version and that the offences are not heinous in nature and that the parties have effected

a compromise which will go a long way to sort out the differences between them and in view of law laid down in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another 2012(4) RCR Criminal 543* and *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR Criminal 1052*, DDR No.26 dated 16.01.2016 under Sections 323,324,148,149, IPC and Section 326 (added subsequently) in FIR No.5 dated 15.01.2016 under Sections 323,324,341,34 IPC Police Station, Sadar, Kapurthala and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

Petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 01, 2016
aarti