

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-14266 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 28, 2016**

Vijay Kumar

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner had filed a complaint in the year 2012 and seeks expeditious disposal of the same.

Basically, this is an administrative matter, which could have been taken by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, who can look into the matter and pass appropriate direction on administrative side as well.

In these circumstances, the petitioner is relegated to move representation before the learned District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, seeking appropriate relief.

Disposed of.

April 28, 2016
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**