

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 03.10.2016

CWP No.3584 of 2000

Gurnam Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal states that this writ petition has been rendered infructuous as per the written statement filed. Neither the petitioners nor their counsel has come present to refute this statement despite the fact that the case is shown in the urgent list for disposal from old cases. The contents of the written statement have not been refuted and are, therefore, admitted.

Consequently, the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

03.10.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No