

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17318-2012
DECIDED ON: May 16, 2016

CHARANJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER...

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Guliani, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 to 8.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, "Cr.P.C.") seeking direction to respondents No. 2 to 4 to decide the representation/application dated 09.05.2011 (Annexure P-1) as well as 15.05.2012 (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

2. In response the notice of motion issued by this Court, official respondents No.1 to 4 preferred reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Manjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Detective), Patiala that taking into consideration the allegations levelled in the

representations/applications, proceedings under Section 107/151 Cr.P.C. were initiated vide DDR No. 27 dated 11.07.2012. Even, the joint statement of respectables was also recorded on the said date, which has been placed on file as Annexure R-2/T.

3. Similarly, Police Station Women Cell of District Patiala conducted an inquiry and has come to the conclusion that no allegation could be substantiated by the petitioner.

4. So, taking into consideration the aforesaid aspect, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

5. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take recourse to the other remedies availably to her under the law.

May 16, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE