

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14195-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.11.2016

Jaskaran Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ASI Kamaljit Singh.

Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2, by this Court vide order dated 30.11.2015 (Annexure P-3), in FIR No.161 dated 30.11.2014, registered under Sections 302, 323, 324, 120-B and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Division No.7, Jalandhar.

It is contended that respondent No.2 is trying to influence the course of trial by intimidating the petitioner. On 26.02.2016, respondent No.2 along with two others including Gaurav Atwal @ Baagi, a proclaimed

ATUL SETHI
30.11.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

offender, threatened to kill him when he had gone to pursue the matter at District Court, Jalandhar.

On the other hand, learned counsel for accused-respondent No.2 contends that after having been released on bail by this Court, the accused has been regularly appearing before the Court. The instant FIR has been registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar, whereas, the complaint regarding the alleged incident of 26.02.2016, has been moved at Police Station Baradari, Jalandhar, with an ulterior motive.

Heard.

From the perusal of the case file, it is evident that the FIR stands registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar, whereas, the alleged complaint (Annexure P-4) has been moved at Police Station Baradari, Jalandhar. Otherwise also, there is nothing on record to suggest that respondent No.2 tried to interfere in the process of law or misused the concession of bail granted to them by this Court.

In this view of the matter, the instant petition merits dismissal.

Dismissed.

29.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No