

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-1418 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.1.2016

Amanpreet Kaur and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 15.2.2015. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure-P-1. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-2. The true copy of Election Identity Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and the true translation extract copy of Middle Standard Examination Certificate, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 2 have been placed on file as Annexures-P-3 and P-4 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated Nil (Annexure-P-5) before the Superintendent of

CRM No. M-1418 of 2016 (O/M)

Police, Hoshiarpur, with a copy to Station House Officer, Police Model Town, Hoshiarpur (respondents No. 2 and 3 herein), for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents No. 4 to 6.

It comes out that since neither the application was moved to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, nor the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, is made party in the present petition, however, keeping in view the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to consider the application of the petitioners dated Nil (Annexure-P-5), moved to respondent No. 2 with a copy to respondent No. 3, and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.1.2016
sjks