

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14111 of 2016 (O&M).
Decided on:-May 20, 2016.

Puran Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-14399-2016

This is an application for placing on record complete translated copy of documents Annexures P-2, P-5 and P-6 and for exempting the petitioner from filing certified copies thereof.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the petitioner is exempted from filing certified copies of documents Annexures P-2, P-5 and P-6, which are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to further/re-investigate the cross-version recorded as DDR No.31 dated 9.5.2015 recorded at the instance of the petitioner in case FIR No.90 dated 8.5.2015 under Sections 307, 323, 447,

511, 506, 148 and 149 IPC read with Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Machhiwara, District Ludhiana.

In the alternative, prayer has also been made for directing learned Magistrate to decide the application (Annexure P-4) before committing the case to the Court of Session. In the said application, the petitioner has prayed re-investigation/further investigation of the aforesaid FIR.

At this stage, Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate has put in appearance and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4. Same is taken on record.

Admittedly, the application (Annexure P-4) has been filed by the petitioner before the learned Magistrate on 28.3.2016 and without waiting for the outcome of said application, he has approached this Court through the instant petition on 11.4.2016. In this way, conduct of the petitioner shows that no reasonable period to decide the said application has been provided to the learned Magistrate.

Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition as the same is totally pre-mature and is dismissed as such.

May 20, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE