

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-15906 of 2013

Date of decision: February 23, 2016.

Arjan Singh Cheema

... **Petitioner**

v.

Bhagwant Singh

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Present petition was filed for quashing the complaint (Annexure P-2) pending before Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana and also quashing the charge sheet dated 15.10.2012 (Annexure P-7). Also challenged is the order dated 7.3.2013 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide which the revision against the framing of charge was dismissed.

It comes out that now the entire evidence has been recorded but the complaint is not being decided on account of the stay granted by this Court.

Since the entire evidence has been recorded and the parties have already taken all the available pleas before the trial court, therefore, it is not deemed proper to comment on the merits of the case. Let the arguments be heard and the matter be decided on merits. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take all the available pleas at the time of arguments. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

February 23, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge