

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-170-1990

Date of Decision : 22.11.2016

Punjab National Bank, Batala

.....Appellant

Versus

Avinash Khanna and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: None

GURMIT RAM, J.

As per the office report, notice issued to the appellant – bank for its service received back with the report 'served' but there is no representation on its behalf.

As per earlier order dated 19.10.2016, the counsel who appeared on behalf of the appellant – bank pleaded no instruction to appear in this case on behalf of bank.

So in this situation, the Court is left with no other option but to dismiss this appeal for want of prosecution with the liberty to get it revived for its disposal on merits as and when either appellant or anybody else duly authorized on behalf of the appellant – bank files an application to do so, if permissible under the law.

Ordered accordingly.

22.11.2016
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No