

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6404-1995
Date of Decision: October 04, 2016

Gurmeet Singh
.....Petitioner
Versus
The Chandigarh Administration and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |
| 4. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 5. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |

.....

Present: Mr.Surender Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Suvir Sehgal, Sr.Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh and
Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Standing Counsel
for UT, Chandigarh.
.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner seeks a direction for providing basic amenities in the Commercial Area of Sector 40-D, Chandigarh.

The writ petition was filed in the year 1995. Much water has flown thereafter. Learned Senior Standing Counsel for UT Administration, on instructions, states that all amenities like approach road, parking place, street lights etc. have already been provided in the market area of Sector 40-D, Chandigarh. This would render the writ petition as infructuous. However, if the market area is still lacking any amenity, liberty is granted to the petitioner to represent the concerned Estate Officer, UT, Chandigarh, who shall be obligated to consider such representation within three months and if any

CWP-6404-1995

-2-

deficiency is found in the provision of basic amenities, the needful shall be done within a period of four months thereafter.

Disposed of in above terms.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

October 04, 2016
meenuss

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**