

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(282)

CRM-M-14003-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-21.10.2016

Amrinder Singh

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.178 dated 14.09.2014 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Patiala (Punjab), the petitioners as well as the complainant seek quashment of FIR by way of compounding/compromise.

This Court vide order dated September 15, 2016 had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify the genuineness of the compromise and send its report before the next date of hearing.

The office report shows that the report from the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate has not yet been received. However, learned counsel for the petitioner has supplied certified copies of the statements recorded by the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, pursuant to the order dated September 15,

2016 made by this Court and the same is taken on record. Which shows that the statements have been recorded and compromise that is arrived at between the parties is genuine. Learned counsel for the complainant agrees that the statements have been recorded and compromise between the parties has taken place.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.178 dated 14.09.2014 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Patiala (Punjab) is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

October 21, 2016

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No