

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-12973 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision: August 12, 2016

Lovish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana

Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Janya Sirohi, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 300 dated 04.04.2015 under Sections 498-A, 323, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

This petition is pending for almost a year. The parties were referred for mediation.

As per report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, the parties could not reach at any amicable settlement.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that the dowry articles have not been returned and the jewellery and other articles would be of more than Rs. 35 lacs.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the information received by the father of the girl had informed the High Court that he had spent a little over Rs. 13 lacs on the marriage.

The complainant is present in the Court today. There are differences between the couple. The complainant side have alleged that the dowry articles/jewellery have not been recovered. The petitioner would deposit Rs. 8 lacs within one month to be paid to the complainant in lieu of dowry articles. The draft of Rs. 8 lacs would be handed over to the Investigating Officer. All other articles which are with the petitioner would be handed over within a fortnight.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed

and interim order dated 11.05.2015 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 12, 2016
reena

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No