

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-155-1990

Date of Decision : 21.11.2016

Rahim Bax

.....Appellant

Versus

Ram Kishan and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**Present:** None for the appellant.

None for respondent No.2.

Respondent No.1 *ex parte*.

GURMIT RAM, J. (Oral)

As per the office report, notices sent to learned counsel for both the parties have been received back duly served, but there is no representation on their behalf.

Then as per record, notices were sent to the appellant as well as respondent No.2 for 29.9.2016 which were received back with the report that they are not residing at the given addresses.

So in these circumstances, the Court is left with no other option but to dismiss this appeal for want of prosecution with the liberty to get it revived for its disposal on merits if some-body on behalf of the parties to the litigation files an application to do so, if permissible under law.

Ordered accordingly.

21.11.2016

Brij

**(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether reportable : Yes/No**