

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No. M-13916 of 2016

Date of Decision:-26.04.2016

Ravinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 6.12.2014 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur, whereby the Court has accepted the cancellation report.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is one of the injured, therefore, the cancellation report cannot be accepted without his consent.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

perused the order.

Interestingly, the complainant, who is the wife of the petitioner had appeared before the learned Magistrate and had agreed with the cancellation report. She has specifically stated that she has no objection in case the cancellation report is accepted. She was satisfied with the police investigation. In these circumstances, the Court has accepted the cancellation report.

Though the petitioner is husband of the complainant but merely if he states that he is not satisfied with the cancellation report, he had the remedy available under the law, which he has not availed.

The present petition carries no merits and, accordingly, dismissed.

April 26, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE