

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(203)

CRM-M-13912-2016

Decided on: July 20, 2016.

Sahib Singh alias Paramjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: None for the petitioner.

HC Gurpreet Singh of Police Station Dharamkot.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered at the instance of complainant Paramjit Singh alleging that the petitioner had received a sum of Rs.6 lacs from the complainant to send his son Manpreet Singh to England.

The petitioner had earlier filed a petition for pre-arrest bail in which a report had been submitted that the petitioner was found innocent but subsequently the challan appears to have been filed against him.

Investigating Officer, HC Gurpreet Singh, is present in the Court along with the police file. I have gone through the police file.

Perusal of the police file indicates that in a report dated 30.05.2011 the allegations of the complainant against the petitioner had been found to be false on the ground that the false allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. It was also found that Gajjan Singh has got two sons i.e. Sahib Singh petitioner and Sarabjit Singh. The petitioner had

stayed for nine years out of India and was deported in the year 2008 and was not able to go abroad again on his earlier passport. He had got another passport made in the name of Paramjit Singh on the basis of a card and driving licence which were invalid. The complainant being commission agent had money transaction with Kulbir Singh, the brother of Gajjan Singh. Kulbir Singh died two years prior to the complaint and complainant wanted to pressurize the petitioner and his father and other family members to pay the money which the deceased had to pay to him.

The dual version regarding the grievance of the complainant is apparent from the police file.

Investigating officer HC Gurpreet Singh informs that challan has already been presented. He is not aware that supplementary challan has been presented or not.

The petitioner has made available copy of the order dated 30.04.2016 indicating that he has surrendered in the Court.

In view of above circumstances, the petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner will remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished by him on 30.04.2016. He will continue to appear before the trial Court in accordance with law without prejudice to the right of the prosecution agency to present supplementary challan against him.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 20, 2016
harsha