

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-12887 of 2015

Date of decision : 19.09.2016

Sunil Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana & anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana

None for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 100 dated 28.03.2008 under Sections 406/498-A/323/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad , is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 13.03.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 13.02.2007. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. One child i.e Baby Devvika was born out of this wedlock. The relationship between them became strain and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioners.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 13.03.2015 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of order dated 24.04.2015, report of Chief

Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by the petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 100 dated 28.03.2008 under Sections 406/498-A/323/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

19.09.2016
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***No***