

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

255

CRM-M-12876-2015
Date of decision : 06.12.2016

Satnam Singh and others Petitioners
versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Vaibhav Narang , Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 14.07.2016, the following order was passed:

“This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 320 dated 06.10.2013, under Sections 323/324/326/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, District Amritsar City on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-1).

Adjourned to 22.09.2016.

In the meantime parties are directed to appear before the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate, District Amritsar City on 22.08.2016 or any other date convenient to the Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Magistrate is directed to record the statements of both the parties to his satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Magistrate is also directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to

validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal proceedings are pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter on 22.09.2016, the following order was passed:

In compliance of order dated 14.07.2016, report of the learned Court below has been received.

As per the said report, parties did not turn up for getting recorded their statements regarding compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as respondent Nos.2 and 3 seeks adjournment for the said purpose.

Adjourned to 06.12.2016.

In the meantime parties are directed to appear before the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate, District Amritsar City on 18.10.2016 or any other date convenient to the Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Magistrate is directed to record the statements of both the parties to his satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Magistrate is also directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal proceedings are pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.

In spite of giving two opportunities for recording the statements, parties have not appeared in trial Court for recording the statements. In these circumstance, I see no reason to grant another opportunities to the parties for recording their statements.

Petition stands dismissed.

December 06 , 2016
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No