

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13753 of 2016

Date of decision: August 08, 2016

Tek Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the order dated 29.04.2016 made by this Court granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner is the father of the accused persons and one of the occupant of the Swift Car. There does not appear to be direct involvement of the petitioner. Nevertheless the prosecution states that as a father, he was duty bound to control his children. Petitioner has joined the investigation.

In that view of the matter, the order dated 29.04.2016 particularly when the petitioner has joined the investigation, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 08, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No