

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-13715 of 2016

Decided on : 25.05.2016

Veerpal Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr.Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4 filed in the Court, today is taken on record.

Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner.

Mr.Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.6 to 11 and filed his power of attorney.

However, learned State counsel has produced a copy of statement of respondent Nos.6 to 9 to the effect that they are not extending any threat and have accepted the marriage solemnized between the petitioners.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6 to 11 has no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, this petition no more survives.

Disposed of.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

25.05.2016