

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13699-2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: July 13, 2016

Satbir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

.....

A.B.CHAUDHARI,J

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner- Satbir, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No.70, dated 22.05.2015, registered at Police Station Rajound, District Kaithal.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The offences are obviously triable by the Magistrate.

The challan has been filed. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is habitual offender and FIRs, three in number, are pending in Uttar Pradesh against him. According to her, there is likelihood of him not appearing in the trial at Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will provide local surety from the District Kaithal. In my opinion, the trial may take long time and the petitioner has been in jail for sufficient time. Since the challan has been filed there is no point in detaining him in the jail any further at the cost of the State.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court. The petitioner shall regularly attend the Court of trial and also report to the police of concerned Police Station on the date fixed by the trial Court for appearance.

July 13, 2016
meenu

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE