

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13685 of 2016

Date of decision: August 01, 2016

Bhagwant Singh @ Bhanta and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Saini, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.11 dated 29.03.2016, registered under Sections 308, 341, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, this Court had made an order dated 25.04.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioners.

Learned State counsel submits that the injuries are indeed of serious nature.

Learned counsel for the complainant also supported the said submission and stated that there is bone fracture and therefore, bail to the petitioners should not be confirmed.

Having regard to the nature of the offence which is said to be taken place in March 2016 and having regard to the further fact as stated by

the learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Dhuli Chand that the petitioners have joined investigation and recovery has been made, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In that view of the matter, order dated 25.04.2016, is made absolute. Rule accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 01, 2016
mahavir