

117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13648 of 2016
DECIDED ON: APRIL 25, 2016**

KANISHKA GOYAL & ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Petitioners are present in person with
 Mr. Gurbir Singh Toor, Advocate

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred by Kanishka Goyal and Lalit Dhuria, under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty by providing adequate security and also directing to respondents No.4 to 7 not to interfere in their personal lives and liberty.

2. When this petition was taken up for hearing, Mohinder Goyal, Anju Goyal, Ripul Goyal and Sarthak Goyal who are parents and brothers of petitioner No.1 accompanied by Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate appeared in the Court. At their request, they were provided time to sit with the petitioners and to have talk with them to sort out some amicable settlement. After some time,

the case has been called again. Parties have resolved that both the petitioners shall stay with Mr. Sandeep Gupta son of Mr. J.P. Gupta, resident of House No. 3138, Sector 23-D, Chandigarh (husband of sister of petitioner No.1) till their marriage is solemnized with the consent of parents of both the petitioners. It has further been resolved by them that the marriage will be solemnized as soon as possible after a date is finalized by Pandit, as per 'Mahurat' of their marriage.

3. At this juncture, it has further been clarified and stated in categorical words that petitioners have no apprehension or threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and in that situation, petitioners do not want to proceed with this petition and intend to withdraw the same.

4. In view of amicable settlement arrived at in between the parties, this Court is also of the considered view that petitioners as well as their parents shall ensure the safety and security of the petitioners, which would enhance a harmonious atmosphere for the proper solemnization of marriage of the petitioners *inter-se*.

5. With the afore-said observations, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

APRIL 25, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE