

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13611-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.11.2016

Shiv Shanker

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate/amicus curiae,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Gurmeet Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in FIR No.72 dated 26.05.2014, registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at P.S. Division No.4., Ludhiana City.

Contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the instant case. He is behind the bars for more than one year. The petitioner did not misuse the concession of interim bail granted to him.

On the other hand, learned State counsel states that the recovery effected in the instant case is commercial in nature.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the contraband allegedly recovered in the instant case falls under the category of 'commercial quantity', no case for grant of bail is made out.

However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in

ATUL SETHI
22/11/2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

custody since 26.05.2014, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably, within a period of five months from today.

22.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No