

**212-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 13609 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision : 21.07.2016

Mufeed

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.AG, Haryana

Mr.Parvesh Yadav, Advocat for complainant.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail.

Reply by way of affidavit of Rattan Deep, Deputy
Superintendent of Police, Punhana is filed on behalf of respondent-State and
the same is taken record. Copy supplied to the counsel opposite.

On 25.04.2016 the following order was passed:-

*“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of
accused Mufeed in case FIR No.183 dated 7.4.2016 under
Sections 323, 325,506,34 IPC, PS Punhana, District Mewat.
Petitioner accused is husband of Ajrunisha, an elected member
of Zila Parishad by defeating the sister-Wazida of complainant-
Fakhrudin. The allegations of the complainant are that on
4.4.2016 at around 12.30 pm present accused came in a Balero
vehicle alongwith 3 other named accused and 5/10 unnamed
persons to the Tehsil Office and fired from his country made*

pistol upon complainant, which shot missed complainant. Thereafter petitioner accused is alleged to have given a butt blow on the left side of complainant while coaccused Fakhru gave a fist blow on the head of complainant and also pressed his neck with an intention to kill him. A sum of Rs.9000/- and other documents are alleged to have been snatched from the complainant.

It is contended that version of firing from pistol and snatching of money and documents was found to be false by the police. Further submits that there is delay of three days in filing the FIR which is a result of political rivalry. Notice of motion for 28.5.2016.

At this stage, Mr.Sarvjit Singh Khurana, has put in appearance on behalf of complainant.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer/Trial Court. Petitioner shall appear before the I.O., as and when called upon for investigation and shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned Addl.AG, on instructions from HC Daya Chand, has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances the order dated 25.04.2016 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any also stands disposed of.

July 21, 2016
Sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE