

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-13599 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 05, 2016.

Gurmukh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA**

Present: Ms.G.K.Mann, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Gill, Advocate  
for U.T., Chandigarh.

**Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of FIR No.84 dated 27.5.2015 registered under Sections 279/336 IPC at Police Station, North, U.T., Chandigarh on the basis of compromise.

It appears that an accident occurred on 27.5.2015 between car of the petitioner and the complainant. Complainant alleged that petitioner was driving the car in a rash and negligent manner. Admittedly, no one suffered any injury in the accident. However, there was some damage to the vehicle. Pursuant to FIR, investigation ensued and challan was presented before the competent court. An affidavit has been filed by the complainant stating therein that matter has been resolved between the parties. Compromise is without any coercion and influence etc.

Learned State counsel submits that in case there is compromise between the parties, the State shall not stand in the way of quashing of FIR. Parties are present in court and they have been duly

identified by their respective counsel.

Compromise is in the interest of the parties. Matter having been resolved by amicable settlement, no useful purpose is likely to be served by continuance of the criminal proceedings. In view of above, the present FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom deserve to be quashed in light of judgment delivered in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,**

Resultantly, the present petition is allowed. The F.I.R in question and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

**(Rajan Gupta)**  
**Judge**

May 05, 2016.  
BB