

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 4.11.2016

1.CWP No.2914 of 2000

Harish Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

2.CWP No.5922 of 2000

Ram Niwas Yadav ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner(s)

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of CWP Nos.2914 and 5922 of 2000 as common questions of law and facts are involved both the writ petitions.

Learned counsel for the State has produced two letters dated 2.11.2016 in each of the two cases received from the Assistant Director (Admn.) for Director, Development and Panchayats Department, Haryana informing the Advocate General, Haryana that both the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous in implementation of the orders passed by this Court on 31.1.2007 in CWP No.16376 of 1999 (Devender Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana). The petitioners have been appointed as Gram Sachivs vide Memo dated 4.5.2007. Both the letters are retained on record

as Mark A and B.

In view of the above, both the writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

4.11.2016
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No