

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

107

**CRM-M-13546-2016 (O&M).
Decided on: April 25, 2016.**

Paramjit Singh @ Lalli

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.. Respondent(s)

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.Anter Preet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail on apprehension of arrest after receipt of report of chemical examiner indicating that the salt recovered from the petitioner was commercial quantity of diphenoxylate hydrochloride.

The petitioner had been granted the concession of conditional interim temporary bail subject to receipt of report of chemical examiner. The Additional Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar, has declined the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the report indicate that the salt recovered from the petitioner was Amphetamine but on account of contradictory report having been received, the petitioner is prima facie entitled to acquittal on the basis of benefit of doubt, as such, he should be granted the concession of bail.

I have considered the contention of the counsel for the petitioner but I am of the opinion that the ground for bail raised by the petitioner would be applicable to him only after he surrenders pursuant to condition imposed upon him while granting interim temporary bail.

The petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to seek concession of regular bail after surrendering before the trial Court.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**April 25, 2016.
rka**