

CRM-M-13453-2016

Date of Decision : 12.07.2016

Sandeep Kaur @ Sudeep Kaur and ors

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, D.A.G., Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioners, mother and her two sons, seek concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of Suresh Kumar alleging that he is an Advocate and was waylaid by the petitioners and assaulted on the allegations that the complainant had committed theft of the pet dog.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that prior to the occurrence, the petitioner No. 1 had made a telephone call on a Helpline No. 181 at 4:20 PM and a complaint was registered on 21.03.2016 at Sr. No. 1058052 as the complainant had assaulted petitioner No. 1 by entering in the house, on account of the dispute, pursuant to the pet dog which had been sold by petitioner No. 3 to the complainant.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, at this stage, it is sufficient to observe that it is a case of simple injury and the petitioners have joined investigation. It does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation.

Petition is allowed. The interim order dated 23.04.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to all the conditions under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C with an additional condition that the petitioners will not commit the similar offence of which they are accused of during the pendency of the trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

12.07.2016
Neha