

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-1345 of 2016

Date of Decision: 16.02.2016

Aradhna & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Saveta Sanghi, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Subhash Chand, Advocate
for respondents no.4 to 8.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 CrPC is for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection.

On notice of motion having been issued, reply by way of affidavit of Vinod Kumar, HPS, D.S.P., Gohana, District Sonapat, on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, has been filed in Court and the same is taken on record.

On the basis of the reply, learned State counsel submits that both the petitioners have made statements to the effect that they do not need any protection, as their family members are happy with their marriage and they have no danger from any person.

In view of the aforesaid, no further order is called for and the present petition is disposed of.

However, in case the petitioners apprehend any danger to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach S.P. Sonapat, respondent no.2.

February 16, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE