

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13444 of 2016  
Date of decision : 02.09.2016

Karanjeev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY.**

Present: Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (Oral)**

On the last date of hearing, the petitioner was asked to take instructions with respect to the gold rings.

State Counsel has shown the photographs which show that two gold rings were given to the relatives and those have not been returned. It is stated that it was a love marriage, though the family had participated.

There are disputes with respect to the return of the other gold articles. The matter can be agitated before the Courts below.

The petitioner would deposit ₹50,000/- for the rings within a period of one month before the trial Court.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on

the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 22.04.2016 granted interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**02.09.2016**

*pawan*

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-      Yes**

**Whether reportable:-      No**