

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-13424 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 23.4.2016

Sunita Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Sahil Gambhir, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 7.4.2016. The certificate of marriage has been placed on record as Annexure-P-3. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copies of Secondary Examination Certificates, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

CRM No. M-13424 of 2016 (O/M)

-2-

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 8.4.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the S.H.O., Police Station Sadar, Karnal-respondent No. 3, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Karnal-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 8.4.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.4.2016
sjks