

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13367 of 2016.
Decided on:-17.05.2016.

Anil.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioner (By order).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

On the basis of representation dated 17.3.2016 addressed to the Hon'ble Judge of this Court, the present petition was numbered as CRM-M No.13367 of 2016.

The petitioner has prayed that the accused be granted bail so that they can prove themselves as innocent and enquiry be got conducted through some other agency, but not from the Haryana police. While concluding the prayer, it has been submitted that the petitioner and other co-accused be granted permission to commit euthanasia so that they could get rid off from such insulting life.

Reply on behalf of the respondent-State has already been filed by way of affidavit of Rajesh Kumar, HPS, DSP, Gohana.

Learned State counsel on the strength of reply so submitted has contended that the allegations made in the complaint/representation are not only false, rather, misconceived. The petitioner has tried to put forward a false story. He is in the habit of filing such type of complaints before different forums including Human Rights Commission, Superintendent of Police, Sonapat as well as other authorities. He has also submitted an application in the year 2014 before the Hon'ble Judge of this Court and the said complaint was looked into by Shri Sukram Pal, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat. However, on transfer of said officer, it was enquired into by his successor, who after conducting the enquiry, dismissed the said complaint. Even his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.86 dated 27.3.2013 under Sections 148/149/436/449/307/302/303/120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station, Baroda was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 14.5.2015 passed in CRM-M No.9066 of 2015.

In view of the reply submitted by the respondent-State, prayer of the petitioner cannot be accepted. As such, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

May 17, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE