

278

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13332 of 2016

Date of decision: November 28, 2016

Baltej Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Aman Saini, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.119 dated 24.07.2015, under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga, petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 03.10.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned

JMIC, Moga stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is found to be genuine and without any pressure or coercion.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.119 dated 24.07.2015, under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 28, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No