

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-13328 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 1ST AUGUST, 2016**

Krishan Paharia

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vishvajeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.382 dated 02.09.2015 registered under Sections 406, 457, 380 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Urban Estate Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR.

Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find that the petitioner had accomplished with Hemlata. He stated to have fled away with amount of ₹28 lacs in connivance with Hemlata. The petitioner was arrested on 21.09.2015 and since then he is in jail.

Learned State counsel submits that an amount of ₹10 lacs and odd has been recovered out of the amount of ₹28 lacs. He further states that the trial is already going on and four witnesses have been examined out of twelve.

Be that as it may. Looking to the nature of offence and the role of the petitioner there is no point in continuing his detention pending trial. Hence, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The petition is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witnesses.
- (iv) In case of violation the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

1ST AUGUST, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.