

CRM-M-13284-2016

Date of Decision : 05.05.2016

Gurprem Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered on the complaint of Dr. Puneet Kalra on the allegations that the petitioner was running a Clinic without proper degree and was selling drugs without licence. On the basis of secret information, a raid was conducted and Paramjeet Kaur was sent to his Clinic where he made an attempt to cause abortion against her wishes and had also assured that after abortion, male child would born to her.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a property dealer and that he had got a dispute with one Ishwar Singh who has filed a complaint alleging that the petitioner had cheated him on the allegations by alluring him and his daughter Kusum Lata to part with a sum of Rs.4 lacs and having given land in an area not habitable. The said complaint was filed under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that one son and one daughter-in-law of the said Ishwar Singh are Doctors.

The petitioner has been implicated in the case by taking

the assistance of Paramjit Kaur, a decoy. On last date of hearing, I had instructed Sub Inspector Krishan Lal, present in the Court, to submit a report regarding the antecedents of said Paramjit Kaur who had been deputed as a decoy in this case. Sub Inspector Krishan Lal has fairly submitted a report to this effect that Paramjit Kaur, a Staff Nurse in Civil Hospital, Yamuna Nagar is a prosecution witness in four criminal cases out of which, three are under PNDT Act.

Besides this, with the assistance of State counsel, I have gone through the police file. It appears to be debatable whether Paramjit Kaur was pregnant on the day when the petitioner allegedly committed offence under PNDT Act.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, in view of the above said circumstances, the petition is allowed and the petitioner, who has been in custody with effect from 10.12.2015, is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

05.05.2016

Neha