

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 13238 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.4.2016.**

Rajni and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. J.S. Khattar, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No. 4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal life of the petitioners.

It is further alleged that the petitioners are major and petitioner No.1-Rajni is stated to have born in the year 1995 whereas petitioner No. 2-Shubham Gupta is stated to have born on 19.11.1994. Photocopies of the Adhaar Card of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition in support thereof. It is further alleged that the petitioners got married on 18.4.2016 as per Hindu rituals in a Mandir at Panchkula against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 8 and the marriage is an inter caste marriage. The marriage photographs and marriage certificate have been appended as Annexure P-3 and P-4 with the petition.

The petitioners had made a representation dated 18.4.2016 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2 regarding their grievance and resultantly, they have approached this Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the veracity of the age and validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to look into the said issue and take necessary action in accordance with law in view of the law laid down in Lata Singh vs. State of UP, AIR 2006 SC 2522, if required.

The petition stands disposed of.

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

19.4.2016
preeti