

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 13233 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.4.2016.**

Poonam Devi and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. J.P.Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No. 4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal life of the petitioners.

It has been averred by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No. 1-Poonam Devi was earlier married to one Sandeep son of Karambir, who was died and there is one daughter from the said wedlock.

It is further alleged that the petitioners are major and the date of birth of petitioner No.1-Poonam Devi is 28.12.1991 whereas petitioner No. 2- Rajesh Kumar is stated to have born on 6.6.1991. Photocopies of the Adhaar Cards in respect of both the petitioners have been appended in support thereof. It is further alleged that the petitioners got married on 13.4.2016 according to Hindu rites in Siri Aadi Shakti Vaid Pathshala (regd.) Mansa Devi Complex Panchkula against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 8. The marriage certificate and the photographs of the marriage have been appended as Annexures P-3 and P-4 with the petition.

During the course of the arguments, it transpired that petitioner No. 2 is a serving Army personnel who is posted at Srinagar. Photocopy of the Identity Card of petitioner No. 2 is taken on record as Annexure A.

The petitioners had made a representation dated 13.4.2016 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 3 regarding their grievance and resultantly, they have approached this Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the veracity of the age and validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to look into the said issue and take necessary action in accordance with law in view of the law laid down in Lata Singh vs. State of UP, AIR 2006 SC 2522, if required.

The petition stands disposed of.

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

19.4.2016
preeti