

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-13189 of 2016

Date of Decision: July 8, 2016

Satnam Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioners.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

It is claimed that the offence under Section 306 IPC is not made out from the material which forms part of the report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. On the basis of said plea, quashing of FIR has been prayed for.

On asking of the Court, it has been informed that the trial Court has not, till date, considered the material forming part of the report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C.

This petition is disposed of in view of the fact that the petitioner has got the alternative remedy of raising all the pleas at the time of consideration of charges. In case the material available on the record does

not constitute offence under Section 306 IPC, it will be open to the trial Court to pass any appropriate favourable order in favour of the petitioner. It is expected that all the pleas raised by the petitioner would be taken into consideration.

July 8, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE