

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-13162 of 2016

Date of Decision: 23.9.2016

Anoop Luther

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. S.K.Librehan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 179 dated 8.11.2014, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 313 IPC, Police Station City Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Petitioner has joined the investigation. The FIR has been lodged after nine years of marriage. Maintenance of Rs. 35,000/- per month has been allowed to the wife in the proceedings launched under Section 125 Cr.P.C. Anticipatory bail had been allowed to the parents.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the articles had been returned and he refers to Annexure P-7.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the

granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 23, 2016
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No