

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13158 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.08.2016

Hardeep Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. R.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

On 22.04.2016, following order was passed:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case is pending trial. The parties have compromised. One of the offences is under Section 304-A IPC. The matter is already pending before the Division Bench of this Court on the point whether the compromise can be allowed for the offence under Section 304-A IPC.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent State.

The State is directed to file written reply within three weeks.

List on 2.8.2016."

Learned counsel for the State has placed reliance upon the decision of Division Bench of this Court rendered in **CRM-M No.40769 of 2014 titled as Baldev Singh vs. State of Punjab and another (decided on 02.06.2016)** wherein it has been held that a case under Section 304-A IPC cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise.

Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed.

August 02, 2016
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |