

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -13121 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Jasvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Santokh Singh.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.34 dated 09.03.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

On 22.04.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered at the instance of Paramjit Kaur alleging that her husband was persuaded to enter into an agreement of sale with Bikar Singh and Gurmeet Kaur. Said persons in connivance with Gopal Dass had received earnest money and entered into an agreement of sale of a house on 2.3.2016.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that fraud, if any, has been played by Bikar Singh, Gurmeet Kaur and Gopal Dass.

So far as petitioner is concerned, the allegation against him is that he is an attesting witness to the

agreement of sale.

It is claimed that the petitioner has not received any money even as per the allegations in FIR.

Notice to the Advocate General, Punjab, for 4.7.2016.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join investigation on 7.5.2016 or on any other date as required by the Investigating Officer. In case of petitioner doing so, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

Mr.Mohit Garg, Advocate, at this stage, has intervened on behalf of the complainant contending that the petitioner had entered into a criminal conspiracy with other co-accused who had fraudulently received money from the complainant.

Counsel for the complainant will be at liberty to raise arguments on next date of hearing.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.04.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.04.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No