

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-13116 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22nd November, 2016**

Paramjeet Kaur alias Harpreet Kaur alias Muskan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ravi Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent(s)-State.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No.51 dated 20.01.2016, registered at Police Station City Sirsa, under Sections 384, 387, 388, 120-B IPC.

On 25.05.2016, this Court passed the following order:-

“Petitioner a lady has been in custody w.e.f. 25.2.2016 in a case registered at the instance of Niranjana Dass. The allegations against the petitioner are that she indulged in the immoral activities with the complainant by alluring him and inviting him in a room and in connivance with four other persons and one another lady, demanded a sum of Rs.10 lacs. Being afraid, the complainant agreed to give Rs.2 lacs.

The antecedents of the petitioner have been got checked from the Investigating Officer. It appears that she is involved in one another case under the same offence in the same Police Station. Apparently, the conduct of the petitioner does not warrant grant of bail to her as she is likely to indulge in

Criminal Misc.No.M-13116 of 2016 (O&M)

similar activities for earning easy money but counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has to look after three minor children and in this context a report has been received from the Investigating Officer.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is a female and has to look after three minor children, keeping her in custody may affect the upbringing of three other children. Striking a balance between the right of liberty of the petitioner and the prosecution agency to control the immoral crime, I deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on bail for a trial period of six months to watch her conduct.

Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court till 28.11.2016 subject to the condition that during this period she will not commit similar offence of which she is accused of.

Adjourned to 22.11.2016, for a report regarding the conduct of petitioner and for further arguments.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that in the last six months, the conduct of the petitioner has been above board.

In these circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 25.05.2016 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

22nd November, 2016

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No