

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.145

**CRM No.M-13073 of 2016
DECIDED ON: APRIL 12, 2016**

TANIA SODHI and ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure preferred by Petitioner No.1-Tania Sodhi and Petitioner No.2-Naman Sharma seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5 and for providing necessary security to them.

2. Notice to respondents No. 2 & 3 only at this stage.
3. At the asking of Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.
4. Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate appeared on behalf of

private respondents. Gurjeet Singh, brother of the petitioner No.1 and mother Jaspreet Kaur Sodhi are present in the Court. They requested for some time to have talk with petitioner No.1-Tania Sodhi. Accordingly, they were allowed to have talk with petitioner No.1-Tania Sodhi in the premises of the Court for about 5-7 minutes. Ultimately, when the petition was taken up again, neither private respondents nor their counsel appeared in the Court. The petitioners allegedly solemnized marriage due to which the private respondents have got annoyed and have extended threats to their lives and liberty.

5. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, Punjab to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated April 11, 2016 (Annexure P-4) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law for providing necessary security.

6. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

APRIL 12, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**