

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-12979 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 21, 2016**

Jyoti Singla

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed for quashing of order dated 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib and the order dated 17.08.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout.

It comes out that the petitioner had filed a complaint under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC against the respondents, stating that her cheques have been forged. Learned Magistrate has observed that the complainant has admitted that she was partner of the firm M/s Puran Chand Vinod Kumar along with accused Nos.1 and 2. She also admitted that she had given the cheque to the accused. She stated that accused Nos.1 and 2 used to get blank cheque singed from her and that cheque has been misused by the accused in connivance with Surinder Kumar, accused No.3, who filed the complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, in which the present petitioner was acquitted

on the ground that Surinder Kumar failed to prove the transactions. It was never held that the cheque was forged. Since, complainant had admitted that she had given the cheque, which authorized the holder to fill in the same, therefore, there is no error in the findings recorded by both the courts below.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

April 21, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE