

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-12884 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.04.2016

Parminder Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 12.04.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Complainant-mother as well as her daughter are present in the Court and the daughter has been allowed to meet her mother.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the main accused-Pawandeep Singh and daughter of the complainant have solemnized their marriage and even in the habeas corpus petition filed by the complainant, her daughter made a specific statement that she wants to

reside with her husband.

Learned counsel for the victim has also not disputed the abovesaid fact.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim direction issued by this Court on 12.04.2016 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

27.04.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE