

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11857 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.08.2016

Ravinder Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.86, dated 16.03.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Ambala City.

It is contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case after 10 years of the execution of alleged sale deed dated 28.07.2005. In pursuance of orders dated 13.04.2015 and 05.10.2015, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant submit that though the petitioners have joined the investigation, however, during the course of investigation, it came out that both the petitioner in connivance with their

father Amrik Singh played fraud upon legal heirs of Mangat Ram and fraudulently got the sale deed executed in their favour. The learned State counsel further states that recovery is yet to be effected.

Heard.

The present FIR was registered on a complaint moved by Amarjeet Kaur @ Amro Devi who alleged that the petitioners in connivance with other co-accused fraudulently got executed the sale deed in question in their favour. It has also come on record that co-accused Talvinder Kaur, sister in law of of Amrik Singh, impersonated as Amro Devi to get the sale deed executed. The recovery of alleged sale deed is yet to be effected.

Keeping in the view the accusations against the petitioners, this Court feels that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is necessary in the matter. Therefore, no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.08.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No