

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11822-2015

Date of Decision: February 15, 2016

Amrit Lal Vij and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms. Radhika Subhash, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Monika Jangra, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajneesh Malhotra, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are in unison that all the offences for which the petitioners have been booked are compoundable, the aggrieved persons have resolved their dispute and effected a compromise with the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the

petitioners may be permitted to withdraw the present petition to enable them to approach learned Area Judicial Magistrate, for compounding all the offences.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned proxy counsel for respondent No. 2, has no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

February 15, 2016
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE