

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11730 of 2015.
Decided on:-19.01.2016.

Sukhmandar Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

It is the case of the petitioner that he should not be harassed by respondent No.4 by calling him unnecessarily in the Police Station without any reason except by invoking the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Reply by way of affidavit of respondent No.4 has already been filed.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh

as well as on the basis of reply submitted by respondent No.4, states that the petitioner is not required by respondent No.4 in connection with any case. Further more, statement of Amandeep Kaur was recorded by the police on 7.8.2015 wherein she has disclosed that she has solemnized marriage with respondent No.5 and they remained together for a period of 3 months, but after that , some dispute has arisen between them and she has left the society of respondent No.5. As of now, she is residing with the petitioner as per her own wishes.

Taking into consideration the categorical statement of learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required by respondents No.1 to 4 in any case, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Disposed of as such.

January 19, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE